UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/735,595	12/12/2003	Raymond C. Kurzweil	14202-005001	1751
²⁶¹⁶¹ FISH & RICHA	7590 06/04/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	,	DUFFY, DAVID W		
MIINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/735,595	KURZWEIL, RAYMOND C.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DAVID W. DUFFY	3714		

DAVID	O W. DUFFY	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 periods:	me day as filing a Notice of A (1) an amendment, affidavit a appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the fire b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	Action, or (2) the date set forth in SIX MONTHS from the mailing Y CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of d statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance we filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	tion and/or search (see NOT	E below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence of the properties of the prope			e issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	splanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	(I) ((C))		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was	e <u>all</u> rejections under appea as not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•	
because: See Continuation Sheet.	Table to Figure 110 applie		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SI 13. Other:	B/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Corbett B. Coburn/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3714	

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Choy does not disclose overlaying a virtual environment over portions of the images received from the camera. As explained, Choy discloses a two person version to allow two people to use the system of Choy to interact with each other via a network, but Choy does not explicitly disclose a camera mounted within the mannequins of the system. As stated, examiner contends that it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that people interacting physically might want to see each other, and as they may be remote, a camera, such as the device taught by Yee, that provides realistic video images for a person remote to the camera, would be desirable. As such, when the teachings are combined the system of Choy, which allows for the overlaying of video images to change the background, would obviously enable the overlaying of the incoming video stream from the remote cameras as taught by Yee to change the background of the incoming video from the remote cameras. One cannot show non-obviousness by attacking the references individually.